

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE LUM-M-12418 US 2801 Jerome C. Bhat 07/31/2003 10/632,719 **EXAMINER** 07/23/2004 7590 32566 NGO, NGAN V PATENT LAW GROUP LLP 2635 NORTH FIRST STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 223** 2814 SAN JOSE, CA 95134

DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/632,719	BHAT ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Ngan Ngo	2814		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)☐ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed	on			
2a)☐ This ad	ction is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· ·	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
· <u> </u>	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

The drawings are objected to because figure 1 is not labeled "PRIOR ART". See MPEP 608.02(a). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet. even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 10/632,719

Art Unit: 2814

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Uemura.

Uemura discloses a light emitting device comprising a n-type layer (13), a p-type layer (15), an active region (14), n- and p-contacts (16 and 17), and a submount (61) having first and second conductive regions on a first side of the submount and third and fourth conductive regions on a second side of the submount in which the n- and p-contacts are electrically and physically connected to the first and second conductive regions of the submount in a flip chip configuration. Note figure 6 of Uemura

In re claim 2, Uemura discloses in paragraph [0012] that the conductive regions are made of Nickel and Copper.

In re claim 8, figure 6 shows the conductive layers (63 and 64) partially surround a region of semiconductor material (61) within the submount.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 10-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uemura in view of Yagi et al and Horiuchi et al.

Uemura discloses all the subject matter discussed above. However, Uemura does not discloses the conductive layers being formed of highly doped semiconductor

layers and the light emitting device formed in a well. Yagi discloses in figure 3 that the conductive layers being formed of doped semiconductor layers in silicon to make contact to the submount 101. Horiuchi further disclose that the light emitting device formed in a well of the submount 2 in order to reflect light. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of use the teachings of Uemura, Yagi, and Horiuchi to form a light emitting device with highly doped semiconductor layers in a well in order to provide a high efficiency light emitting device.

The other references are cited to show other structures pertinent to Applicants' disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Ngan Ngo at telephone number (571) 272-1711. The fax number for the Art unit is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ngan Van Ngo Primary Examiner

Ngan Ngo